



# Vascular plants of old cemeteries in the Lower Dnipro region (Southern Ukraine)

Nadiia Skobel<sup>‡,§</sup>, Ivan Moysiienko<sup>‡</sup>, Barbara Sudnik-Wójcikowska<sup>§</sup>, Iwona Dembicz<sup>§</sup>,  
Maria Zachwatowicz<sup>|</sup>, Maryna Zakharova<sup>‡</sup>, Oleksii Marushchak<sup>¶</sup>, Viktoria Dzerkal<sup>#</sup>

‡ Department of Botany, Faculty of Biology, Geography and Ecology, Kherson State University, Kherson, Ukraine

§ Institute of Environmental Biology, Faculty of Biology, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

| Faculty of Geography and Regional Studies, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

¶ I. I. Schmalhauzen Institute of Zoology, NAS of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine

# Nyzhnodniprovskiy National Nature Park, Kherson, Ukraine

Corresponding author: Nadiia Skobel ([skobel2015@gmail.com](mailto:skobel2015@gmail.com))

Academic editor: Dmitry Schigel

Received: 19 Dec 2022 | Accepted: 20 Jan 2023 | Published: 01 Feb 2023

Citation: Skobel N, Moysiienko I, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Zakharova M, Marushchak O, Dzerkal V (2023) Vascular plants of old cemeteries in the Lower Dnipro region (Southern Ukraine). Biodiversity Data Journal 11: e99004. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.11.e99004>

## Abstract

### Background

The dataset contains the records of vascular plant species occurrences and distribution in old cemeteries (OC) of the Lower Dnipro region (Southern Ukraine). The analysed cemeteries were located in different types of landscapes (agricultural, rural and urban) and represent various ways of using their area (currently used, closed, abandoned). The floristic list includes 440 species of vascular plants (437 *in situ*, 3 *ex situ*). The dataset demonstrates a zoological (Red-lists species) value of old cemeteries in Southern Ukraine. The cemeteries constitute refuges of native, rare and steppe flora and play a role of steppe habitat islands in a landscape almost completely transformed to arable land or urbanised.



## New information

This is the first dataset which contains information about flora of old cemeteries in Lower Dnipro region (Southern Ukraine). The dataset comprises 2118 occurrences of vascular plants (440 species) recorded in the years 2008-2021 in 13 old cemeteries of the Lower Dnipro region. The dataset includes information about 85 occurrences of rare species (23 species *in situ*, 3 *ex situ*) and 652 occurrences of 117 steppe species.

## Keywords

occurrence, refuge of steppe flora, floristic richness, grass steppe, Kherson Region, Ukraine.

## Introduction

In recent centuries, anthropogenic activities led to significant losses of natural habitats, globally (Cremene et al. 2005, Vickery et al. 2009, Löki et al. 2019). Particularly significant changes occurred in the steppe zone of southern Ukraine, where the area of steppe vegetation decreased forty-fold in the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> century. The steppe covered ca. 40% of the total territory of the today's Ukraine, while at present, the steppe remnants survived only on 1% of this area (Burkovskiy et al. 2013). In the steppe zone of Europe, small anthropogenic objects (Verschuuren et al. 2010, Valkó et al. 2018) like cultural monuments (Deák et al. 2016a, Deák et al. 2016b), ancient burial mounds (e.g. Moysiienko and Sudnik-Wójcikowska (2006a), Moysiienko and Sudnik-Wójcikowska (2006b), Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiienko (2006), Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiienko (2008a), Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiienko (2008b), Moysiienko and Sudnik-Wójcikowska (2008), Moysiienko and Sudnik-Wójcikowska (2009), Moysiienko and Sudnik-Wójcikowska (2010), Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiienko (2010), Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiienko (2011a), Sudnik-Wójcikowska et al. (2011), Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiienko (2012), Moysiienko et al. (2014)), old cemeteries (e.g. Verschuuren et al. (2010), Moysiienko et al. (2017), Löki et al. (2019)) and ancient settlements (e.g. Dayneko et al. (2020), Dayneko (2020), Moysiienko et al. (2020)) are often the last enclaves of steppe vegetation and constitute important sites for steppe biodiversity conservation.


The studies performed in different regions have recognised the natural values of cemeteries (e.g. Galera et al. (1993), Lisowska et al. (1994), Barrett and Barrett (2001), Vickery et al. (2009), Löki et al. (2019), Nowińska et al. (2019), Nowińska et al. (2020)); however, studies focused on the natural values of old cemeteries in Ukraine were lacking. There were a few publications devoted to the spontaneous and decorative flora of Ukrainian cemeteries, as well as some research that focused on urban areas (e.g. Burda (1991), Vasyliieva-Nemertsalova (1996), Moysiienko (1997), Moysiienko (1999), Melnyk (2001), Arkushyna (2003a), Arkushyna (2003b), Hubar (2006), Kushnyr (2006), Hamulia



and Zviahyntseva (2010), Zavalova (2010), Sukhanova (2012), Eremenko (2013), Gerasimyuk (2014), Besarabchuk and Volhin (2017), Maltseva (2019)). Given the extremely high level of anthropogenic transformation of the steppe flora of Ukraine, the role of the phytodiversity research on small objects, such as old cemeteries, is growing. Cemeteries can be treated as “islands” of natural vegetation located in close proximities of urban areas, often harbouring rare and endangered plant species. The key importance of cemeteries in nature conservation is unquestionable (Bhagwat 2009).

Using the term ‘old cemetery’, we refer to the European Landscape Convention (Council of Europe 2000), according to which, the old cemeteries are treated as cultural heritage sites and integral parts of the natural-cultural system. Importance of old cemeteries in the conservation of steppe flora of South Ukraine is highly dependent on the date when the cemetery was established. The establishment period in the mid-19<sup>th</sup> to the early 20<sup>th</sup> century or earlier is particularly relevant, since, at that time, the cemeteries were located within the natural steppe habitats, while later, the significant transformation of the steppe cover to arable land occurred.

One of the problems of the natural and cultural heritage conservation of old cemeteries is the fact that still none of the old cemeteries of Lower Dnipro region is included in the register of monuments of Ukraine. Thus, many of them could be neglected and destroyed (Ukraine Incognita 2022, Fig. 1).





Our field investigations, in the years of: 2007-2017 and 2020-2021, showed the capacity and value of old cemeteries for biodiversity conservation. The flora of the investigated old cemeteries included 440 taxa of vascular plants. The total number of occurrences was 2118. Amongst the recorded taxa, numerous were protected and Red-listed plant species (recorded in the Red Data Book of Ukraine, Didukh (2009)). Old cemeteries should be, therefore, the subjects of special protection as cultural and natural monuments. They constitute enclaves of steppe and rare species. It is suggested that active conservation measures in steppe burial sites should only take place if protection of the site cannot be ensured otherwise, for example, when a change in religious values results in a discontinuation of traditional habitat management methods (Löki et al. 2019). Conservation, history and spiritual activities are closely connected in cemeteries. However, establishment of protected areas in currently-used cemeteries is problematic at the moment, since the objectives of nature conservation sites and the traditions of burial care have not matched (and usually provide for the destruction of a vegetation cover). Therefore, for currently-used cemeteries, it is more challenging. Therefore, for current used, it is more advisable to apply a gentle informational campaign with explanation of ways of ecologisation of burial care. In contrast, abandoned cemeteries that have a high conservation value already could be declared natural monuments. According to Ukrainian law (in Ukrainian: “pamyatki prirody”), they are protected by adequate environmental regulations including in its description “unique structures with exceptional natural, scientific, educational and aesthetic values that should remain intact”.

### **The goals that were set for the study**

The floristic data, collected in the old cemeteries (OC) were compiled into a dataset. We used the dataset to achieve the following goals:

1. to characterise the floristic richness and value of old cemeteries in the Kherson Region, Southern Ukraine (on the example of selected cemeteries, representative of the region);
2. to indicate the most valuable species (steppe and rare species, legally protected or listed in the 'Red Data Book of Ukraine' (Didukh 2009));
3. to show the role of old cemeteries in the preservation of the steppe flora.

### **Project description**

**Title:** Northern Eurasia 2022

**Personnel:** Nadiia Skobel, Ivan Moysiienko

**Funding:** The collecting of floristic data, field investigations and further data analysis were supported by the project:

‘How the East was won: Towards an environmental history of the Eurasian steppe’ N 2012-06112.



We are also grateful to the ‘Finnish Biodiversity Information Facility (FinBIF)’ for the call for authors in the project ‘Northern Eurasia 2022’ The data processing and publication were funded by the NCN scholarship programme for Ukrainian students and young researchers nr 2021/01/4/NZ9/00078 in collaboration with the University of Warsaw (N.S.).

## Sampling methods

**Description:** See Moysiienko et al. 2021a, Moysiienko et al. 2021b, Moysiienko et al. 2021c, Moysiienko et al. 2021d, Skobel et al. 2022, Skobel and Moysiienko 2022a, Skobel and Moysiienko 2022b, Skobel et al. 2022a, Skobel et al. 2022b. According to the administrative and territorial division, the examined cemeteries are located in the Beryslav, Henichesk, Skadovsk and Kherson Districts (former Belozerk, Beryslav, Velykooleksandrivka, Hola Prystan and Nyzhni Sirogozy Districts) and Kherson — the capital of the Kherson Region. The study of the flora of 13 old cemeteries was conducted using literature and field data, collected during the growing seasons of 2008–2021. The study of the flora of 10 old cemeteries of the Kherson Region (its rural and agricultural landscape) was conducted in the growing seasons of 2008-2017, field searching during two-three years and three old cemeteries of the city of Kherson (urban areas) in 2020-2021 field searching during two years.

The area of the old cemeteries of the Kherson Region varies from 0.43 ha to 10.45 ha. The total area of all old cemeteries is 51.28 ha (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Tables 1, 2, 3).

Table 1.

General information about old cemeteries.

| No | Name of the cemetery* <sup>1</sup> | Location   | Year of establishment       | Area (ha) | Landscape type* <sup>3</sup> | Way of using of the cemetery* <sup>4</sup> |
|----|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|--|
| 1  | Kherson Old Jewish cemetery        | Kherson, the capital of the region               | 1870                        | 2.58      | U                            | CI   |
| 2  | Kherson Zabalka cemetery           | Kherson, the capital of the region               | 18-19 <sup>th</sup> century | 9.49      | U                            | CI   |
| 3  | Kherson Memorial cemetery          | Kherson, the capital of the region               | 1780                        | 10.45     | U                            | CI   |
| 4  | Ekonomiia Ivanivka                 | Kherson Region, Beryslav District                | 1855-1865                   | 0.43      | A                            | Ab   |
| 5  | Kurgan near khutir Balakshova      | Kherson Region, Beryslav District                | 1855-1865                   | 0.7       | A                            | Ab   |
| 6  | Dolmativka                         | Kherson Region, Skadovsk District, v. Dolmativka | 1850-1855                   | 3.17      | R                            | Cu   |

| №  | Name of the cemetery* <sup>1</sup> | Location   | Year of establishment | Area (ha) | Landscape type* <sup>3</sup> | Way of using of the cemetery* <sup>4</sup> |
|----|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|------------------------------|--|
| 7  | Nyzhni Torhai                      | Kherson Region, Henichesk District, v. Nyzhni Torhai | 1840                  | 1.1       | R                            | Cu   |
| 8  | Poniativka                         | Kherson Region, Kherson District, v. Poniativka      | 1780                  | 1.1       | R                            | Cu   |
| 9  | Posad-Pokrovske                    | Kherson Region, Kherson District, v. Posad-Pokrovske | 1789                  | 3.6       | R                            | Cu   |
| 10 | Stanislav                          | Kherson Region, Kherson District, v. Stanislav       | 1697                  | 6.79      | R                            | Cu   |
| 11 | Tokarivka                          | Kherson Region, Kherson District, v. Tokarivka       | 1780                  | 2.81      | A                            | Cu   |
| 12 | Tryfonivka                         | Kherson Region, Beryslav District, v. Tryfonivka     | 1863                  | 3.2       | R                            | Cu   |
| 13 | Tiahynka                           | Kherson Region, Beryslavsky District, v. Tiahynka    | 1778                  | 5.86      | R                            | Cu   |

Table 2.

The basic parameters characterising the flora of old cemeteries in Lower Dnipro Region.

| Characteristic of flora of investigated old cemeteries (OC) | The type of landscape in which the examined old cemeteries are located |                 |                 | Way of using of the cemetery area |           |                 |
|---|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
|   | Agricultural landscape   | Rural landscape | Urban landscape | Abandoned OC                      | Closed OC | Current used OC |
| Number of investigated OC                                   | 3  | 7               | 3               | 2                                 | 3         | 8               |
| Total number of species                                     | 229  | 360             | 228             | 154                               | 360       | 369             |
| Mean number of species per OC                               | 126  | 166             | 190             | 107                               | 166       | 165             |
| Minimum and maximum number of species per OC                | 104-164  | 143-217         | 173-202         | 104-110                           | 143-217   | 143-217         |
| Number of OC with more than 150 species                     | 1  | 5               | 3               | 0                                 | 5         | 5               |
| Number of OC with more than 200 species                     | 0  | 1               | 1               | 0                                 | 1         | 1               |



| Characteristic of flora of investigated old cemeteries (OC) | The type of landscape in which the examined old cemeteries are located |                 |                 | Way of using of the cemetery area |               |                 |
|---|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
|   | Agricultural landscape   | Rural landscape | Urban landscape | Abandoned OC                      | Closed OC     | Current used OC |
| Number of native species                                    | 158<br>(69%)   | 226<br>(63%)    | 115<br>(50.4%)  | 112<br>(72.7%)                    | 226<br>(63%)  | 235<br>(63.6%)  |
| Number of steppe species                                    | 69<br>(30.1%)  | 96<br>(26.7%)   | 34<br>(15%)     | 42<br>(27.7%)                     | 96<br>(26.7%) | 103<br>(27.9%)  |
| Number of rare species <i>in situ</i>                       | 16<br>(7%)   | 16<br>(4.4%)    | 10<br>(4.4%)    | 8<br>(5.1%)                       | 16<br>(4.4%)  | 18<br>(4.8%)    |
| Number of rare species <i>ex situ</i>                       | 2<br>(0.8%)  | 3<br>(0.8%)     | 1<br>(0.4%)     | 0<br>(0%)                         | 3<br>(0.8%)   | 3<br>(0.8%)     |

Table 3.

Additional characteristic of old cemeteries

| No | Name of the cemetery* <sup>1</sup> | TNoS* <sup>2</sup> | NoNS* <sup>2</sup> | NoSS* <sup>2</sup> | NoRS (RDBU)* <sup>2</sup> | NoRS (KRRL)* <sup>2</sup> | NoAS* <sup>2</sup> | NoEg* <sup>2</sup> | DSS (km)* <sup>2</sup> |
|----|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 1  | Kherson Old Jewish cemetery        | 195                | 102                | 29                 | 1                         | 4                         | 93                 | 19                 | 5.38                   |
| 2  | Kherson Zabalka cemetery           | 173                | 93                 | 24                 | 2                         | 2                         | 80                 | 16                 | 6.7                    |
| 3  | Kherson Memorial cemetery          | 202                | 103                | 29                 | 0                         | 7                         | 99                 | 23                 | 5.13                   |
| 4  | Ekonomiia Ivanivka                 | 110                | 78                 | 21                 | 0                         | 6                         | 32                 | 5                  | 13.73                  |
| 5  | Kurgan near khutir Balakshova      | 104                | 80                 | 28                 | 1                         | 1                         | 24                 | 3                  | 13.55                  |
| 6  | Dolmativka                         | 148                | 99                 | 33                 | 2                         | 2                         | 49                 | 10                 | 1.8                    |
| 7  | Nyzhni Torhai                      | 144                | 90                 | 33                 | 2                         | 2                         | 54                 | 11                 | 2.84                   |
| 8  | Poniativka                         | 143                | 84                 | 25                 | 2                         | 4                         | 59                 | 14                 | 1.2                    |
| 9  | Posad-Pokrovske                    | 173                | 96                 | 25                 | 1                         | 2                         | 77                 | 16                 | 14.8                   |
| 10 | Stanislav                          | 183                | 109                | 38                 | 5                         | 6                         | 74                 | 17                 | 1.33                   |
| 11 | Tokarivka                          | 164                | 114                | 48                 | 2                         | 7                         | 50                 | 12                 | 0.2                    |
| 12 | Tryfonivka                         | 155                | 101                | 33                 | 6                         | 2                         | 54                 | 12                 | 10.7                   |
| 13 | Tiahynka                           | 217                | 136                | 54                 | 5                         | 6                         | 81                 | 19                 | 0.7                    |

**Sampling description:** We were guided by the following criteria for selecting old cemeteries for research:



a) the establishment of the old cemetery in the areas covered by steppe sites (cemeteries established by the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century and earlier). There is no register of old cemeteries available in Ukraine. By this reason, we used literature sources (Kasyanenko 1972) and historical maps (Maps of Schubert 1865) to search for old cemeteries and for estimations of the year of their foundation.

b) the presence of preserved steppe sites of more than 10 m<sup>2</sup> (within the cemetery area and around it).

c) the presence of rare plant species and plant communities.

All analysed cemeteries are located in different types of landscapes: agricultural, rural and urban (Fig. 3).




Figure 2. [doi](#)

Location of old cemeteries. Abbreviations: 1 – Kherson Old Jewish cemetery; 2 - Kherson Zabalka cemetery; 3 – Kherson Memorial cemetery; 4 - Ekonomiiia Ivanivka; 5 - Kurgan near khutir Balakshova; 6 – Dolmativka; 7 - Nyzhni Torhai; 8 – Poniativka; 9 - Posad-Pokrovske; 10 – Stanislav; 11 – Tokarivka; 12 – Tryfonivka; 13 – Tiahynka.\*<sup>1</sup>

Rural cemeteries are located in villages, within rural landscapes. Rural landscape describes the diverse portion of the nation's land area not densely populated or intensively developed and not set aside for preservation in a natural state. Rural landscapes have differences in comparison with urban; as rule in rural, they have less anthropogenic territories and activities, while agricultural cemeteries are located in agricultural landscape between ploughed areas of fields. Agricultural landscape features (or henceforward simply



landscape features) are small fragments of non-productive natural or semi-natural vegetation in an agricultural landscape which provide ecosystem services and support for biodiversity (European Commission, Joint Research Centre 2022).



Figure 3. [doi](#)

Satellite images of different types of landscape at the old cemeteries. Abbreviations: **a** – urban (1 – Kherson Old Jewish cemetery; 2 – Kherson Zabalka cemetery, 3 - Kherson Memorial cemetery); **b** – rural landscape (1 – Poniativka; 2 - Tokarivka; 3 - Tiahynka), **c** - agricultural landscape (1 - Kurgan near khutir Balakshova; 2 - Ekonomiia Ivanivka).

a) currently used OC – intensive land use (burials, intensive planting, intensive care of graves, littering, possible grazing, absence of mowing);

b) closed OC – medium land use intensity (burials not performed, medium planting, medium care of graves, possible littering, possible grazing, possible mowing);

c) abandoned OC – relatively medium/low land use intensity (burials not performed, low planting, possible care of graves, low littering, possible grazing, possible mowing).

As rural and agricultural cemeteries were often anonymous, we called them according to the name of the nearest village or historical place (in case the nearest village was absent).

Each old cemetery was examined at least three times during the growing season (spring, summer and autumn) using the route-field method. The floristic lists were collected. We determined the abundance of individual species according to a simple 3-point scale, where 1 means - single occurrence, 2 - several localities, 3 - quite common species within the site. The identification of vascular plant species was held in the field. Specimens that could



not be identified in the field were collected to the Kherson State University Laboratory of Plant Ecology and Environmental Protection. The floristic lists were the subject to further analysis (Moysiyenko et al. 2021a, Moysiyenko et al. 2021b, Moysiyenko et al. 2021c, Moysiyenko et al. 2021d, Skobel et al. 2022, Skobel and Moysiyenko 2022a, Skobel and Moysiyenko 2022b, Skobel et al. 2022a, Skobel et al. 2022b).

The collective list of old cemeteries flora includes 437 species *in situ* and three rare species *ex situ* (i.e. native plants which escaped cultivation after they were intentionally brought to the cemetery). The species cultivated on graves, which have not gone wild, were not included in the species lists.

**Quality control:** The collected materials were verified in the Laboratory of Plant Ecology and Environmental Protection (Department of Botany, KSU) and Herbarium of Kherson State University (KHER, Kherson State University 2022). Species identification extracted from peer-reviewed scientific publications were taken as is, but checked for name misspelling against [GBIF Species Matching tool](#). Coordinates of records were checked using Google Earth service (Google Earth 2021) and QGIS.

**Step description:** The following steps were taken:

1. The study of vascular plant flora in old cemeteries of the Lower Dnipro region was carried out in the field, in the growing seasons of 2007-2018 and 2020-2021.
2. We collected the lists of species and determined the abundance of individual species in each cemetery according to a simple 3-point scale.
3. To make the lists of the flora comparable, we strived to visit each of the old cemeteries at different times of the growing season (spring, summer, autumn). Thus, the floristic lists were successively supplemented.
4. We collected herbarium documentation (Kherson State University 2022) and photographic documentation.
5. The obtained census of the old cemeteries flora includes 440 species and 2118 occurrences compiled in a CSV file.
6. Data were post-processed using Darwin Core terms (Wieczorek et al. 2012).
7. Data cleaning was performed using OpenRefine (OpenRefine 2022).

## Geographic coverage

**Description:** The floristic research was carried out on 13 old cemeteries in the Lower Dnipro Province, Kherson Region. According to the administrative and territorial division, the examined cemeteries are located in the Beryslav, Henichesk, Skadovsk and Kherson Districts and in the city of Kherson.

The Lower Dnipro region is represented by classes of steppe vegetation (Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2011a, Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2011b, Sudnik-Wójcikowska et al. 2011). The climate is continental, with a mean annual temperature of 9–10°C. The characteristic features of the natural conditions of the Lower Dnipro region are determined by its geographical location within the true steppe zone of



the Eastern European plain. The climate of the Lower Dnipro is temperate-continental with mild snowless winters and hot dry summers. The total annual precipitation is below 350 mm. Loess is the most common geological surface formation in the region, reaching a thickness of several tens of metres. Under the loess lies Neogene deposits (limestone, sands, sandstones, marls and clays) in the whole territory along the Lower Dnipro. A dominating undulating topography sets it significantly apart from surrounding steppe plains. The soil types represented within the study area are: low-humus chernozem, dark chestnut, sod and clay sand and meadow-swamp soils (Marynych and Shyshchenko 2005).

The land-surface is almost flat. The Dnipro floodplain is densely cut by numerous straits and branches. Regarding the geobotanical zoning, the Lower Dnipro region is located in three districts of the Black Sea and Azov steppe sub-province of the Pontic steppe province of the steppe zone: a) Bug-Ingul District of grasses, grass meadows and vegetation of limestone outcrops; b) Lower Dnipro region of sand steppes, sands and reed beds; c) Dnipro-Azov District of grasses, wormwood-grass steppes and depression (pid – in Ukrainian) meadows (Andrienko et al. 1977, Dayneko 2020, Moysiienko et al. 2021a).

**Coordinates:** 46.134 and 47.48 Latitude; 31.904 and 35.057 Longitude.

## Taxonomic coverage

**Description:** The Scientific Names of species are given in Latin according to Vascular Plants of Ukraine, a nomenclatural checklist (Mosyakin and Fedoronchuk 1999). The total list of flora *in situ* include 437 species and, additionally, the dataset includes information about three rare species *ex situ* (planting and wilding of rare species which is one of typical traits of flora of old cemeteries in the Lower Dnipro region and for by this reason, we did not include cultivated species because, as rule, these species donot indicate a high level of biodiversity). In total, all species were identified to 440 species. All occurrences classified to one phylum Tracheophyta, to three classes (Gnetopsida, Liliopsida, Magnoliopsida), 27 orders Apiales, Asparagales, Asterales, Boraginales, Brassicales, Caryophyllales, Cucurbitales, Dipsacales, Ephedrales, Ericales, Fabales, Fagales, Gentianales, Geraniales, Lamiales, Liliales, Malpighiales, Malvales, Poales, Ranunculales, Rosales, Santalales, Sapindales, Saxifragales, Solanales, Vitales, Zygophyllales) and 61 families (Adoxaceae, Amaranthaceae, Amaryllidaceae, Apiaceae, Apocynaceae, Asparagaceae, Asphodelaceae, Asteraceae, Berberidaceae, Betulaecea, Bignoniaceae, Boraginaceae, Brassicaceae, Campanulaceae, Cannabaceae, Caprifoliaceae, Caryophyllaceae, Convolvulaceae, Crassulaceae, Cucurbitaceae, Cyperaceae, Elaeagnaceae, Ephedraceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Fagaceae, Geraniaceae, Grossulariaceae, Heliotropiaceae, Hypericaceae, Iridaceae, Juglandaceae, Lamiaceae, Liliaceae, Linaceae, Malvaceae, Moraceae, Oleaceae, Paeoniaceae, Papaveraceae, Plantaginaceae, Plumbaginaceae, Poaceae, Polygonaceae, Portulacaceae, Primulaceae, Ranunculaceae, Resedaceae, Rhamnaceae, Rosaceae, Rubiaceae, Salicaceae, Sapindaceae, Scrophulariaceae, Simaroubaceae, Solanaceae, Thesiaceae, Ulmaceae, Violaceae, Vitaceae, Zygophyllaceae).



**Taxa included:**

| Rank    | Scientific Name |
|---------|-----------------|
| kingdom | Plantae         |

**Temporal coverage**

**Data range:** 2008-1-01 - 2017-12-31; 2020-1-01 - 2021-12-31.

**Usage licence**

**Usage licence:** Open Data Commons Attribution License

**IP rights notes:** This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 Licence.

**Data resources**

**Data package title:** Vascular plants of old cemeteries of Lower Dnipro region (Southern Ukraine)

**Resource link:** <https://doi.org/10.15468/h82vw6>

**Alternative identifiers:** [https://ukraine.ipt.gbif.no/resource?r=vp\\_cemeteries](https://ukraine.ipt.gbif.no/resource?r=vp_cemeteries)

**Number of data sets:** 1

**Data set name:** Vascular plants of old cemeteries of Lower Dnipro region (Southern Ukraine)

**Download URL:** <https://www.gbif.org/uk/dataset/4f5a8595-6bda-4a3b-9d07-c0cdc38ffdef>

**Data format:** Darwin Core

**Description:** The dataset includes a table with 29 fields in Darwin Core terms and 2118 records in it (Skobel et al. 2023).

| Column label   | Column description   |
|----------------|--|
| occurrenceID   | An identifier of a particular occurrence, unique within this dataset. We used the species occurrence numbers.  |
| scientificName | The original names according to 'Vascular Plants of Ukraine, a nomenclatural checklist' (Mosyakin, Fedoronchuk 1999), corrected for spelling mistakes using <a href="#">GBIF Species Matching tool</a> . |



| Column label                  | Column description  |
|-------------------------------|---|
| occurrenceID                  | An identifier of a particular occurrence, unique within this dataset. We used the species occurrence numbers.   |
| eventDate                     | The date-time or interval during which an Event occurred.   |
| basisOfRecord                 | The method in which data were acquired (HumanObservation).  |
| geodeticDatum                 | The geodetic datum upon which the geographic coordinates are given. In our case, it is always WGS84.  |
| georeferencedBy               | The person who determined the georeference.   |
| georeferenceProtocol          | A description of the method used to determine coordinates (Manual with Google Earth).   |
| recordedBy                    | The persons who is responsible for recording the original Occurrence.   |
| identifiedBy                  | The persons who is responsible for recording the Taxon to the subject.  |
| coordinateUncertaintyInMetres | The distance (in metres) from the given decimalLatitude and decimalLongitude describing the smallest circle containing the whole of the Location (from 43 m to 278 m).  |
| geoReferenceRemarks           | Notes about the spatial description determination, explaining assumptions made in addition or opposition to the those formalised in the method referred to in georeferenceProtocol (describing the smallest circle containing the whole of the Location (from 43 m to 278 m). |
| decimalLatitude               | The geographic latitude in decimal degrees.   |
| decimalLongitude              | The geographic longitude in decimal degrees.  |
| organismQuantity              | A number or enumeration value for the quantity of organisms. Estimated according to a 3-point scale: 1 – sporadic, 2 – fairly frequent, 3 – common.   |
| organismQuantityType          | The type of quantification system used for the quantity of organisms. We used a 3-point scale.  |
| samplingProtocol              | The names of the method used during an Event (Species Shoot Presence).  |
| countryCode                   | The standard code for the country in which the Location occurs. In our case, it is always UA.   |
| country                       | The name of the country unit in which the Location occurs. In our case, it is always Ukraine.   |
| stateProvince                 | The name of the administrative region of Ukraine in which the Location occurs. In our case, it is always Kherson.   |
| county                        | The full, unabbreviated name of the next smaller administrative region than stateProvince (districts).  |
| locality                      | The specific description of the place. The nearest village and name of cemetery or official name of cemetery.   |



| Column label   | Column description   |
|----------------|--|
| occurrenceID   | An identifier of a particular occurrence, unique within this dataset. We used the species occurrence numbers.  |
| taxonRank      | The taxonomic rank of the most specific name in the scientificName.  |
| kingdom        | The full scientific name of the kingdom in which the taxon is classified. In our case, it is always Plantae.   |
| phylum         | The full scientific name of the phylum or division in which the taxon is classified. In our case, it is always Tracheophyta.   |
| class          | The full scientific name of the class in which the taxon is classified. In our case, it is Magnoliopsida, Liliopsida, Gnetopsida.  |
| order          | The full scientific name of the order in which the taxon is classified. In our case, it is Asterales, Lamiales, Caryophyllales etc. (fig. 5; Taxonomic distribution of occurrences). |
| family         | The full scientific name of the family in which the taxon is classified (fig. 5 Taxonomic distribution of occurrences).  |
| recordedByID   | A list of the globally unique identifiers for the people responsible for recording the original Occurrence.  |
| identifiedByID | A list (concatenated and separated) of the globally unique identifiers for the people responsible for assigning the Taxon to the subject.  |

## Additional information

### Floristic richness and taxonomic value of old cemeteries in the Lower Dnipro region

We identified 437 (+3 *ex situ*) taxa of vascular plants in 13 old cemeteries, which make up 8.5% of the total flora of Ukraine (Mosyakin and Fedoronchuk 1999) and 21.5% of the flora of the Northern Black Sea region (Moysiyenko 2013).

The total species richness ranged from 104 to 217 (162 species per one cemetery in average). Old cemeteries in different types of landscape show different values of floristic richness.

We noticed differences in floristic richness depending on cemetery size (Fig. 4, Table 2, Moysiyenko et al. (2021a), Moysiyenko et al. (2021b), Moysiyenko et al. (2021c), Moysiyenko et al. (2021d), Skobel et al. (2022), Skobel and Moysiyenko (2022a), Skobel and Moysiyenko (2022b), Skobel et al. (2022a), Skobel et al. (2022b)). Cemetery size can affect the floristic richness. Larger cemeteries should have a higher degree of species richness (Nowińska et al. 2019, Nowińska et al. 2020). Articles of Nowinska (Nowińska et al. 2019, Nowińska et al. 2020) show more conserved values of the older cemeteries than the earlier age of establishment in Europe. Old cemeteries in Lower Dnipro region is



related to their location in landscape, than the age of old cemeteries. In southern Ukraine, for a long time, from the mid-19<sup>th</sup> to the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, only grazing was the predominant type of land use and all old cemeteries were founded before the massive ploughing of the steppes; for this reason, the age of the old cemeteries does not significantly impact their flora.




Figure 4. [doi](#)

The total number of species in the flora of each of the 13 cemeteries (the number of species is indicated at the top of the bar). Abbreviations\*<sup>1, 3, 4</sup>.

At the same time, although Kherson city's old cemeteries are covering larger areas (6.5 ha on average) compared to cemeteries located in other types of landscape (2.8 ha on average), they are poorer regarding species diversity and more homogenous (floristically similar to each other – they share 125 species, 55%). This is due to anthropogenic impacts occurring in the City, the similar exotic species occurrences and the relatively small distances between cemeteries in the City (the distance between cemeteries located in Kherson City is ca. 2.5 km, while, for rural landscapes, this value ranges from 1.5 km to 140.5 km).

The way of using cemeteries' areas affects their floristic diversity. Currently, used cemeteries are richer in species and more diverse than abandoned ones, because human activities (burials, ornamental species introductions etc.) usually contribute to the short-term emergence of random species (Nowińska et al. 2020, Nowińska et al. 2019). The examined cemeteries seem to confirm this pattern (Table 2), but a greater number of sampled cemeteries would be needed in order to confirm it as a rule.

The location of the cemetery in a more or less transformed landscape significantly influences its flora. Old cemeteries in the city of Kherson (urban landscape) are



characterised by high shares of alien species, compared to old cemeteries in rural and agricultural areas. It can be explained by the specific, anthropogenic impacts. Human activities in the cities result in a high input of propagules and, in consequence, also in a significant number of alien species (Kornaś 1981, Pyšek 1998, Protopopova 1991, Rejmánek 2000, Protopopova and Shevera 2005, Kowarik 2008, Lososová et al. 2012a, Lososová et al. 2012b, Török et al. 2016).

The flora of investigated old cemeteries has a significant share of plants escaping from cultivation (Moysiyenko et al. 2021a, Moysiyenko et al. 2021b, Moysiyenko et al. 2021c, Moysiyenko et al. 2021d, Skobel et al. 2022, Skobel and Moysiyenko 2022a, Skobel and Moysiyenko 2022b, Skobel et al. 2022a, Skobel et al. 2022b). This is due to the intensive planting of ornamental plants on graves (the ornamental plants are represented by both non-native and native species).

The absence of ornamental plants can impact on the more natural vegetation in cemeteries. Given that ornamental plants are often cultivated on graves, their shares in the cemetery's flora is sometimes high and, thus, the risk of plant invasions is also high for this type of habitat. In such conditions, many sensitive native species, especially steppe species, are unable to compete with more successful non-native plants (Löki et al. 2019).

From the perspective of preservation of a natural vegetation cover, widespread cultivation of plants in cemeteries has two consequences. Wild non-native plants show a negative effect by competing with local plants. In particular, large areas of neglected cemeteries are occupied by shrubs (e.g. *Syringa vulgaris*, *Ailanthus altissima*, *Lycium barbatum*). Some native woody plants (trees, such as: *Fraxinus excelsior*, *Quercus robur* and shrubs, such as *Ligustrum vulgare*) are native, but not characteristic of the steppe vegetation and are also cultivated in cemeteries and escape from cultivation. They may have a negative impact on steppe vegetation in cemeteries and in their vicinity (Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2012). On the other hand, ornamental native, especially steppe species, intentionally planted in cemeteries, have a chance to survive and spread locally, even outside the cemetery.

Most of the species (42%) appeared only in 1-2 cemeteries (Fig. 5). This can be explained by the behaviour of visitors who deliberately bring and plant plants or accidentally drag the diaspores. The number of the species belonging to the 1<sup>st</sup> class of frequency is 184 (they are usually ephemerophytes, ergasiophytes and ergaziophytes).

The majority of species (99.8%) belong to *Magnoliophyta* division (Fig. 6). The division *Pinophyta* (0.2%) is represented by one family – *Ephedraceae* and one species – *Ephedra distachya* L. The presence of *Lycopodiophyta*, *Polypodiophyta* and *Equisetophyta* in the flora of cemeteries was not confirmed, which is explained by unfavourable environmental conditions of the steppe zone (in particular, the insufficient level of moisture).

The most represented families of class *Magnoliophyta* in the old cemeteries flora are: *Asteraceae*, *Poaceae* and *Fabaceae*. These families are also well represented in the flora of Ukraine and within the flora of kurgans (Moysiyenko and Sudnik-Wójcikowska 2006a,



Moysiyenko and Sudnik-Wójcikowska 2006b, Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2006, Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2008a, Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2008b, Moysiyenko and Sudnik-Wójcikowska 2009, Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2010, Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2011a, Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2011b, Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2012, Moysiyenko et al. 2014, Moysiyenko et al. 2023), ancient settlements (Dayneko 2020, Dayneko et al. 2020, Dayneko et al. 2023) and ancient parks (Khodosovtsev et al. 2019). On the other hand, the Rosaceae family (22 species) in old cemeteries is highly represented, mainly by phanerophytes – 16 species (Moysiyenko et al. 2021a, Moysiyenko et al. 2021b, Moysiyenko et al. 2021c, Moysiyenko et al. 2021d), which are not typical for the steppe flora (Krasnova A.M 1973, Krytska 1985, Kucherevskiy 2004, Bondarenko 2015, Shapoval 2012). Their occurrence can be related to local traditions, burial activities and grave care. The genera with the highest number of species identified in the old cemeteries were: *Veronica* (10), *Euphorbia* (8), *Prunus* (8), *Artemisia* (7), *Astragalus* (7), *Allium* (6), *Atriplex* (6), *Bromus* (6), *Galium* (6), *Medicago* (6), *Silene* (6), *Achillea* (5), *Carex* (5), *Chenopodium* (5), *Limonium* (5), *Potentilla* (5), *Sysimbrium* (5), *Vicia* (5), *Viola* (5), *Amaranthus* (4), *Centaurea* (4), *Iris* (4) and *Trifolium* (4).




Figure 5. [doi](#)

The total flora of old cemeteries by frequency classes (percentage of species in each category is indicated). Frequency classes: I – rare (1–2 cemeteries), II – relatively rare (3–4 cemeteries), III – not rare (5–6 cemeteries), IV – relatively frequent (7–9 cemeteries), V – common (10–13 cemeteries).

## The richness of the native flora in old cemeteries of the Lower Dnipro region

The significant shares of native plants indicate a high level of preservation of vegetation in old cemeteries (Fig. 7). Most of the species were natives (272 species – 62.4% of the flora). More than half of the native species are non-synanthropic plants – 142 species. The V class of frequency of native species in old cemeteries include: *Arenaria uralensis* Pall. ex Spreng., *Artemisia austriaca* Jacq., *Consolida paniculata* (Host) Schur., *Convolvulus*

*arvensis* L., *Coronilla varia* L., *Falcaria vulgaris* Bernh., *Festuca valesiaca* Guadin, *Galium aparine* L., *Holosteum umbellatum* L., *Medicago falcata* L., *Poa angustifolia* L., *Poa bulbosa* L., *Polygonum aviculare* L. s.str., *Potentilla argentea* L., *Pterotheca sancta* (L.) C.Koch, *Senecio vernalis* Waldst. et Kit., *Seseli tortuosum* L., *Taraxacum erythrospermum* Andrz., *Tragopogon major* Jacq., *Valerianella carinata* Loisel. and *Viola kitaibeliana* Roem. et Schult. The shares of native species in old cemeteries varied from 50.4% (Kherson Memorial cemetery) to 76.9 % (kurgan near khutir Balakshova).



Figure 6. [doi](#)

The taxonomic distribution of occurrences.

Individual old cemeteries in urban landscape (nos. 1, 2 and 3) are also closed cemeteries. They all show a much smaller share of native species than old cemeteries in agricultural and rural landscapes. The three cemeteries richest in native species are located amongst fields, two of them being abandoned (nos. 4 and 5) and one (no. 11) is still in use (Fig. 7, Table 2). The way of using cemetery area affects the specificity of its flora. This applies in particular to abandoned cemeteries (Nowińska et al. 2019, Nowińska et al. 2020), which are characterised by lower species similarity and higher proportion of native plants than cemeteries that are still in use. The latter ones are richer in species and more diverse than abandoned ones due to human activities (such as burials, introduction of ornamental species etc.) which usually contribute to the short-term emergence of random species (Nowińska et al. 2019, Nowińska et al. 2020). With regard to the Lower Dnipro region, the observed patterns seem to be similar, but due to high diversity of sampled sites, a greater number of cemeteries would be needed in order to confirm it as the rule.

## Floristic richness of the steppe species in old cemeteries in Lower Dnipro region

According to the geobotanical division of the Eurasian Steppe Zone, the Lower Dnipro region is located in the Black Sea and Azov sub-province of the Pontic steppe province.



The steppe physiognomy in the region is determined by tussock grasses of the genera *Stipa*, *Festuca*, *Koeleria* and *Agropyron* (Andrienko et al. 1977, Bohn et al. 2000). Old cemeteries harbour a number of steppe plant species. Old cemeteries (just as kurgans) are more stable habitats than, for example, roadside verges and field margins, thus they play a very important conservational role (Molnár et al. 2017). Older habitats have the potential to hold more grassland (i.e. steppe) species compared to road verges in Central European forest steppe areas (Deák et al. 2020).




Figure 7. [doi](#)

The shares of native species in the total flora of investigated old cemeteries.

Abbreviations\*<sup>1, 3, 4</sup>

The old cemeteries of the Lower Dnipro region preserve steppe vegetation. Steppe vegetation can be preserved only at cemeteries historically located on unploughed parts of the steppe. If the newly-created cemeteries are located in a heavily transformed habitat, the chances of survival for steppe species are much lower.

Lower Dnipro region represented by classes of steppe vegetation (Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2011a, Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyenko 2011b, Sudnik-Wójcikowska et al. 2011, Dayneko et al. 2020). According to the classification of Syntaxonomy of Ukrainian vegetation (Solomakha 2008) in the investigated old cemeteries of the Lower Dnipro region, the classes of steppe vegetation (*Festuco-Brometea* Br.-Bl. et Tx.ex Soó: steppes) and classes that are close to steppe vegetation (*Helianthemo-Thymetea* Romaschenko, Didukh et V. Sl.: steppe calciphilous communities; *Festucetea vaginatae* Soó ex Vičherek; steppe psammophytic communities) are present. According to the classification of Vegetation of Europe (Mucina et al. 2016) in old cemeteries of Lower Dnipro region, *Helianthemo-Thymetea* Br.-Bl. et Tx.ex Soó is present.

*Helianthemo-Thymetea* Romaschenko, Didukh et V. Sl. was used for species that have an optimum occurrence on limestone outcrops, which are present in the Kherson Region and

are represented by the diagnostic species *Achillea leptophylla* M.Bieb., *Euphorbia glareosa* M.Bieb. and *Thymus dimorphus* Klokov & Des.-Shost. *Festucetea vaginatae* Soó ex Vičerek was used for species that have optimal occurrence on psamophytic steppes, which are present in the Kherson Region and are represented by the diagnostic species *Astragalus varius* S.G.Gmel., *Erophila verna* (L.) DC., *Euphorbia seguierana* Neck, *Gypsophila paniculata* L. and *Helichrysum arenarium* (L.) Moench.

Representatives of diagnostic species of these classes have the status of specialists – typical ‘steppe species’, all other species being general specialist or ‘non-steppe species’. At old cemeteries of the Lower Dnipro region, we identified 117 steppe specialists and 320 generalists.

As in the case of native species, the poorest in steppe species turned out to be closed urban cemeteries (nos. 1, 2 and 3). On the other hand, the largest share of steppe species was found for three cemeteries, two of which were mid-field (nos. 5 and 11) and one was rural (no. 13). Of these, one was abandoned and the rest are still in use (Fig. 8, Table 2).




Figure 8. [doi](#)

The shares of the ‘steppe species’ in the total flora of old cemeteries. Abbreviations\*<sup>1, 3, 4</sup>.

The steppe species richness of the investigated old cemeteries is related to their location in the landscape. The old cemeteries in agricultural and rural landscapes are richer in ‘steppe species’ compared to the urban landscape type (Fig. 8). This is associated with lower synanthropisation of the flora and lower anthropogenic impacts in the rural and agricultural landscape types (which allowed for the persistence of some steppe sites). The steppe species are, in general, not dominant in old cemeteries and they occupy up to one third of the cemetery flora. The synanthropic species prevailing in the old cemetery flora are not typical for the steppe and are much more competitive than sensitive steppe species (Sudnik-Wójcikowska and Moysiyanenko 2012).



In the V class of frequency (present at 10-13 old cemeteries) and also in typical “steppe species” *Agropyron pectinatum* (M.Bieb.) P.Beauv., *Carduus uncinatus* M.Bieb., *Carex stenophylla* Wahlenb., *Festuca valesiaca* Guadin, *Koeleria cristata* (L.) Pers., *Potentilla recta* L., *Ranunculus oxyspermus* M.Bieb., *Stipa capillata* L., *Taraxacum erythrospermum* Andr. and *Vinca herbacea* Waldst. et Kit. occurred.

The Lower Dnipro region has a traditional custom of planting the ornamental steppe plants on the graves. Such plants often ‘escape’ and start growing spontaneously (e.g. *Stipa capillata* L., *Ficaria calthifolia* Rchb., *Iris pumila* L., *Ornithogalum kochii* Parl., *Vinca herbacea* Waldst. & Kit. and *Viola odorata* L.). Some other beautiful flowering local plants are not planted near the graves, but appear there spontaneously and are not destroyed during the clearing of the graves from ‘weeds’ (e.g. *Asparagus officinalis* L., *Potentilla recta* L. and *Salvia nemorosa* L.; (Moysiyenko et al. 2021a, Moysiyenko et al. 2021b, Moysiyenko et al. 2021c, Moysiyenko et al. 2021d, Skobel et al. 2022, Skobel and Moysiyenko 2022a, Skobel and Moysiyenko 2022b, Skobel et al. 2022a, Skobel et al. 2022b). As a result, these species have a good chance of being preserved in the conditions of loss of their natural habitats.

### Rare species in the flora of old cemeteries

Under the conditions of human pressure on the natural vegetation, it is particularly important to ensure the protection of rare species and their diversity. The old cemeteries of the Lower Dnipro region preserve rare steppe species that have survived *in situ* for centuries. It was possible because the burial places in Ukraine are held in esteem and the maintenance activities are traditional, limited to the care of graves. One of the indicators of the conservation value of old cemeteries is the share of rare species (sozophytes) in their flora (Moysiyenko et al. 2017, Moysiyenko et al. 2022). The rare species were present in all 13 old cemeteries.

The dataset includes information on 26 rare species in old cemeteries (5.2%). The cemeteries are usually small in size and isolated by agricultural, rural or urban areas around them (Fig. 1).

Six vascular plant species are included in the Red Data Book of Ukraine (Didukh 2009), i.e. *Astragalus henningii* (Steven) Klokov, *Betula borysthena* Klokov, *Stipa capillata* L., *Stipa lessingiana* Trin. et Rupr., *Stipa ucrainica* P. Smirn. and *Tulipa biebersteiniana* Schult. et Schult.f.s.l.) and 17 species in the ‘Red List of the Kherson Region’ (Kherson Regional Council 2013), i.e: *Amygdalus nana* L., *Bellevalia sarmatica* (Goergi) Woronow, *Centaurea adpressa* Ledeb., *Convallaria majalis* L., *Dianthus andrzejowskianus* (Zapal.) Kulcz., *Elytrigia pseudocaesia* (Pacz.) Prokudin, *Ephedra distachya* L., *Fraxinus excelsior* L., *Iris halophylla* Pall., *Limonium platyphyllum* Linch., *Linaria macroura* (M.Bieb.) Chav., *Muscari neglectum* Guss., *Peucedanum ruthenicum* M.Bieb., *Prangos odontalgica* (Pall.) Herrnat. et Heyn, *Quercus robur* L., *Veronica capsellcarpa* Dubovik and *Vinca herbacea* Waldst. et Kit. (Fig. 9).

The flora of the old cemeteries includes *Betula borysthena* Klokov, which was cultured and released, whereas *Paeonia tenuifolia* L., which is included in the Red Data Book of Ukraine (Didukh 2009) and *Anemonoides sylvestris* L., *Stachys germanica* L. – included in the Red List of Kherson Region (Kherson Regional Council 2013) are plants that last only in the place of cultivation.




Figure 9. [doi](#)

Rare steppe species on some old cemeteries of the Lower Dnipro. **a** *Dianthus andrzejowskianus*; **b** *Ephedra distachya*; **c** *Iris halophyla*; **d** *Stipa capillata*; **e** *Stipa lessingiana*; **f** *Stipa ucrainica* (photo Ivan Moysiyeenko)

The sozophytes identified in the old cemeteries represented 19 families. The species represented the class *Magnoliophyta* (only one species belonged to the class *Pinophyta*). The most frequent rare species in old cemeteries were: *Stipa capillata* L., and *Vinca herbacea* Waldst. et Kit. The shares of rare species in old cemeteries varied from 1.7% (Posad- Pokrovske) to 6% (Stanislav).



## Conclusions

The presence of typical steppe species and the large shares of native species compared to the aliens, indicate a relatively good state of preservation of steppe vegetation in old cemeteries in the Lower Dnipro region. The preservation of the natural vegetation cover in cemeteries is supported by the sacred status of cemeteries that are places where economic activities are not allowed. The old cemeteries can play an important role as places for protection of the steppe phytodiversity and provide the prospect of future activities for the local renewal of the steppe.

However, there are a number of adverse anthropogenic factors regarding old cemeteries that often reduce local steppe biodiversity. This is not only vandalism, but also the activities related with the care of graves (e.g. the removal of plant cover around graves or introduction of imported exotic, ornamental plants).

Taking the above into account, there is an urgent need for research on old cemeteries flora and its monitoring, as well as for creating nature reserves (in some of them), preserving their natural values.

## Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to: Polina Dayneko for advice about cultural heritage objects and data cleaning of list of species. Oleh Prylutskyi for consultations and valuable advice on creating datasets. NGO «Ukraine Inkognita» and Roman Malenkov and Valeriy Malyna for help with historical value of Ukrainian old cemeteries and help with literature sources. Nadiia Skobel was supported by NCN scholarship programme for Ukrainian students and young researchers (nr. 2021/01/4/NZ9/00078). We also thank Dr. Robert Mesibov, who helped to greatly improve our dataset. Finally, we also thank the reviewers for the critical evaluation of our manuscript, their relevant comments and suggestions.

## Author contributions

Conceptualisation: Nadiia Skobel, Ivan Moysiienko, Barbara Sudnik-Wójcikowska, Maria Zachwatowicz, Iwona Dembicz, Maryna Zakharova. Data collecting, organising and curation: Ivan Moysiienko, Barbara Sudnik-Wójcikowska, Maria Zachwatowicz, Iwona Dembicz, Nadiia Skobel, Maryna Zakharova, Viktoria Dzerkal. Dataset organising in terms of the GBIF platform: Nadiia Skobel, Oleksii Marushchak, Ivan Moysiienko, Barbara Sudnik-Wójcikowska. Formal analysis and investigation: Nadiia Skobel, Ivan Moysiienko, Barbara Sudnik-Wójcikowska, Maria Zachwatowicz, Iwona Dembicz. Resources: Ivan Moysiienko, Barbara Sudnik-Wójcikowska, Maria Zachwatowicz, Iwona Dembicz, Nadiia Skobel, Maryna Zakharova, Viktoria Dzerkal. Supervision: Ivan Moysiienko, Barbara Sudnik-Wójcikowska, Maria Zachwatowicz, Iwona Dembicz, Nadiia Skobel. Validation: Ivan Moysiienko, Barbara Sudnik-Wójcikowska, Iwona Dembicz, Maria Zachwatowicz, Nadiia Skobel. Visualisation: Nadiia Skobel, Ivan Moysiienko. Writing—original draft and Writing

—review & editing: Nadiia Skobel, Ivan Moysiienko, Barbara Sudnik-Wójcikowska, Maria Zachwatowicz, Iwona Dembicz, Oleksii Marushchak, Maryna Zakharova. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

## References

- Andrienko TL, Bilyk GI, Bradis EM, Barbarych AI (1977) Geobotanical Zoning of Ukrainian RSR. [Геоботаничне районування Української РСР]. Naukova Dumka, Kyiv, 301 pp. [In Ukrainian]. URL: <http://irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/ulib/item/UKR0002629>
- Arkushyna HF (2003a) Synanthropic flora of cemetery city Kirovograd [Синантропна флора цвинтарів міста Кіровограда]. Collection of scientific works. Faltzfein Readings, Kherson. KSU Publishing House, Kherson, 24-26 pp. [In Ukrainian].
- Arkushyna HF (2003b) About rare species of plants in the flora of cemeteries of Kirovograd [Про рідкісні види рослин у флорі цвинтарів міста Кіровограда]. Science and Education, Dnipropetrovsk. Materials of the International Research and Practice Conference, 3-4 pp. [In Ukrainian].
- Barrett GW, Barrett TL (2001) Cemeteries as repositories of natural and cultural diversity. *Conservation Biology* 15 (6): 1820-1824. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2001.00410.x>
- Besarabchuk I, Volhin S (2017) Zoning of the city of Lutsk for comparative urban floristic research [Зонування міста Луцька для порівняльних урбанофлористичних досліджень]. *Scientific Bulletin of the Lesya Ukrainka East European National University* 7 (357): 61-67. [In Ukrainian]. URL: <https://evnuir.vnu.edu.ua/bitstream/123456789/13238/1/13.pdf>
- Bhagwat SA (2009) Ecosystem services and sacred natural sites: reconciling material and non-material values in nature conservation. *Environmental Values* 18 (4): 417-427. <https://doi.org/10.3197/096327109X12532653285731>
- Bohn U, Gollub G, Hettwer C (2000) Map of the Natural Vegetation of Europe. Scale 1: 2500000. [Karte der natürlichen vegetation Europas, Maßstab 1:2500000]. Bonn: Bundesamt für Naturschutz LV Druck im Landwirtschaftsverlag GmbH, Münster-Hiltrup. URL: [https://is.muni.cz/el/1431/podzim2012/Bi9420/um/Bohn\\_etal2004\\_Map-Nat-Veg-Europe.pdf](https://is.muni.cz/el/1431/podzim2012/Bi9420/um/Bohn_etal2004_Map-Nat-Veg-Europe.pdf)
- Bondarenko OY (2015) Flora of downstream between the rivers Dniester and Tiligul (Odessa region, Ukraine) [Флора пониззя дністерсько-тилігульського межиріччя (Одеська область, Україна)]. *Chornomorski Botanical Journal* 11 (3): 278-296. [In Ukrainian]. <https://doi.org/10.14255/2308-9628/15.113/1>.
- Burda RI (1991) Anthropogenic Transformation of Flora. [Антропогенная трансформация флоры]. Naukova Dumka, Kyiv, 168 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 5-12-002068-2]
- Burkovskiy OP, Vasyliuk OV, Yena AV, Kuzemko AA, Movchan YI, Moysiienko II, Sirenko IP (2013) The Last Steppes of Ukraine: to be or not to be? [Останні степи України: бути чи не бути?]. Geoprint, Kyiv, 38 pp. [In Ukrainian]. URL: <https://necu.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/laststep.pdf>
- Council of Europe (2000) European Landscape Convention, Florence. CETS No. 176 (Strasbourg: Council of Europe). URL: <https://rm.coe.int/16807b6bc7>



- Cremene C, Groza G, Rakosy L, Schileyko AA, Baur A, Erhardt A, Baur B (2005) Alterations of steppe-like grasslands in eastern Europe: a threat to regional biodiversity hotspots. *Conservation Biology* 19 (5): 1606-1618. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00084.x>
- Dayneko P, Moysiienko I, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B (2020) Ancient settlements in Southern Ukraine: how do local and landscape factors shape vascular plant diversity patterns in the last remnants of grass steppe vegetation? *Tuexenia* 40: 459-478. <https://doi.org/10.14471/2020.40.015>
- Dayneko P, Moysiienko I, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Skobel N (2023) Ancient settlements as natural heritage sites: the first occurrence dataset on vascular plant species from ancient settlements in the Lower Dnipro region (Ukraine). *Biodiversity Data Journal* 11 <https://doi.org/10.3897/bdj.11.e99041>
- Dayneko PM (2020) Systematic structure of the ancient settlements flora in the Lower Dnipro [Систематична структура флори городищ Нижнього Придніпров'я]. *Chornomorski Botanical Journal* 16 (3): 230-239. [In Ukrainian]. <https://doi.org/10.32999/ksu1990-553X/2020-16-3-4>
- Deák B, Tóthmérész B, Valkó O, et al. (2016a) Cultural monuments and nature conservation: the role of kurgans in maintaining steppe vegetation. *Biodiversity Conservation* 25: 2473-2490. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-016-1081-2>
- Deák B, Valkó O, Török P, Tóthmérész B (2016b) Factors threatening grassland specialist plants - A multi-proxy study on the vegetation of isolated grasslands. *Biological Conservation* 204: 255-262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.08.015>
- Deák B, Valkó O, Nagy D, Török P, Torma A, Lőrinczi G, Kelemen A, Nagy A, Bede Á, Mizser S, Csathó AI, Tóthmérész B (2020) Habitat islands outside nature reserves – Threatened biodiversity hotspots of grassland specialist plant and arthropod species. *Biological Conservation* 241: 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108254>
- Didukh Y (Ed.) (2009) Red Data Book of Ukraine. [Червона книга України. Рослинний світ]. Globalconsulting, Kyiv, 900 pp. [In Ukrainian]. [ISBN 978-966-97059-1-4]
- Eremenko YA (2013) City cemeteries as places of distribution of adventive scrub species. [Городские кладбища как источник распространения адвентивных древесно-кустарниковых видов]. *Plants and urbanization, Dnepropetrovsk*, 19–20 march, 2013. Dnepropetrovsk. Materials of III International Research and Practice Conference, 12 pp. [In Russian].
- European Commission, Joint Research Centre (2022) Classification and quantification of landscape features in agricultural land across the EU : a brief review of existing definitions, typologies, and data sources for quantification. Publications Office of the European Union. <https://doi.org/10.2760/59418>
- Galera H, B. S, Lisowska M (1993) Flora of the cemeteries of the left-bank part of Warsaw compared to the flora of the whole city [Flora cmentarzy lewobrzeżnej Warszawy na tle flory miasta]. *Fragmenta Floristica et Geobotanica* 38 (1): 237-261. [In Polish].
- Gerasimyuk NV (2014) Spring flora of cemeteries of Odesa. [Весняна флора кладовищ міста Одеси]. *Biological Bulletin of Melitopol State Pedagogical University named Bogdan Khmel'nitsky* 4 (1): 170-181. [In Ukrainian]. [https://doi.org/10.7905/bbm\\_spu.v4i1](https://doi.org/10.7905/bbm_spu.v4i1)
- Google Earth (2021) <https://www.google.com/intl/pl/earth/>. Accessed on: 2022-9-21.

- Hamulia YH, Zviahyntseva KA (2010) Features of zoning of habitats of natural and anthropogenic vegetation in Kharkov [Особенности зонирования местообитаний природной и антропогенной растительности г. Харькова]. Bulletin of VN Karazin Kharkiv National University. Series: Biology 11 (905): 43-54. [In Russian]. URL: [http://www.irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis\\_nbuv/cgiirbis\\_64.exe?I21DBN=LINK&P21DBN=UJRN&Z21ID=&S21REF=10&S21CNR=20&S21STN=1&S21FMT=ASP\\_meta&C21COM=S&S21P03=FILA=&S21STR=VKhb\\_2010\\_905\\_11\\_9](http://www.irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/irbis_nbuv/cgiirbis_64.exe?I21DBN=LINK&P21DBN=UJRN&Z21ID=&S21REF=10&S21CNR=20&S21STN=1&S21FMT=ASP_meta&C21COM=S&S21P03=FILA=&S21STR=VKhb_2010_905_11_9)
- Hubar LM (2006) Urban Flora of the Eastern Part of Maly Polissya. (at Example Ostroh, Netishyn, Slavuty and Shepetivka). [Урбанофлори східної частини Малого Полісся (на прикладі Острога, Нетішина, Славути та Шепетівки)]. PhD thesis. M.H. Kholodny Institute of Botany, Kyiv, 20 pp. [In Ukrainian].
- Kasyanenko OY (Ed.) (1972) History of cities and villages of the Ukrainian SSR: In 26 vols. Kherson region. [Історія міст і сіл Української РСР: В 26 т. Херсонська область]. 26. AN URSR. Instytut istorii, Kyiv, 688 pp. [In Ukrainian]. URL: <http://irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/ulib/item/UKR0002570>
- Kherson Regional Council (2013) Red List of Kherson Region [Червоний список Херсонської області] Decisions of the XXVI session of the Kherson Regional Council of the VI convocation № 893 since 13.11.2013. Kherson 2013. 13.p.
- Kherson State University (2022) Kherson State University Herbarium (KHER), Department of Botany. Occurrence dataset. <https://doi.org/10.15468/7c7xxz>
- Khodosovtsev AY, Moysiienko II, Boiko MF, Kuntz B, Melnyk RP, Zagorodnyuk NV, Darmostuk VV, Zakharova MY, Klymenko VM, Dayneko PM, Malyuga NG (2019) Ancient forgotten parks of Kherson Region. [Старовинні забуті парки Херсонщини]. Publishing House: Helvetica, Kherson, 300 pp. [In Ukrainian]. [ISBN 978-966-916-751-4]
- Kornaś J (1981) Human impacts on flora: mechanisms and consequences [Oddziaływanie człowieka na florę: mechanizmy i konsekwencje]. Wiadomości Botaniczne 25 (3): 165-182. [In Polish].
- Kowarik I (2008) On the role of alien species in urban flora and vegetation urban ecology. In: Marzluff JM (Ed.) Urban Ecology. Springer, Boston. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-73412-5\\_20](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-73412-5_20)
- Krasnova A.M (1973) Ecological and habitat analysis of the flora of the Northern Azov region [Екологічний та ареалогічний аналіз флори Північного Приазов'я]. Ukrainian Botanical Journal 30 (5): 578-583. [In Ukrainian].
- Krytska LI (1985) Analysis of the flora of steppes and limestone outcrops of the Right-Bank Grassland Steppe. [Аналіз флори степів та вапнякових відслонень Правобережного Злакового Степу]. Ukrainian Botanical Journal 42 (2): 1-5. [In Ukrainian].
- Kucherevskiy VV (2004) Synopsis of the Flora of the Right Bank Steppe of the Dnipro Area. [Конспект флори Правобережного степового Придніпров'я]. Prosperkt, Dnipro, 292 pp. [In Ukrainian].
- Kushnyr OA (2006) Bioecological features of plants and formation of design shrubs in cemeteries. [Біоекологічні властивості рослин та особливості формування декоративних насаджень на кладовищах]. PhD thesis. National Agrarian University., Kyiv, 27 pp. [In Ukrainian].
- Lisowska M, B. S, Galera H (1994) Flora cmentarzy lewobrzeżnej Warszawy - wybrane aspekty analizy siedliskowej [Flora of the cemeteries of the left-bank part of Warsaw -



- selected aspects of ecological analysis]. *Fragmenta Floristica et Geobotanica* 1: 19-31. [In Polish].
- Löki V, Deák B, Lukács AB, Molnár VA (2019) Biodiversity potential of burial places-a review on the flora and fauna of cemeteries and churchyards. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 18: 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00614>.
  - Lososová Z, Chytrý M, Tichý L, Danihelka J, Fajmon K, Hájek O, Kintrová K, Láníková D, Otýpková Z, Řehořek V (2012a) Biotic homogenization of Central European urban floras depends on residence time of alien species and habitat types. *Conservation Biology* 145: 179-184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.11.003>
  - Lososová Z, Chytrý M, Tichý L, Danihelka J, Fajmon K, Hájek O, Kintrová K, Kühn I, Láníková D, Otýpková Z, Řehořek V (2012b) Native and alien floras in urban habitats: a comparison across 32 cities of Central Europe. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 21: 545-555. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13591>
  - Maltseva C (2019) Urban Flora of the South-Western Part of the Northern Priazovye (for Example Berdyansk, Primorsk, Henichesk). [Урбанофлора Північного Приазов'я (на прикладі м. Бердянськ, м. Приморськ та м. Генічеськ)]. PhD thesis. MM Gryshko National Botanical Garden, Kyiv. [In Ukrainian].
  - Maps of Schubert (1865) <http://www.etomesto.ru/shubert/>. Accessed on: 2022-9-21.
  - Marynych OM, Shyshchenko PG (2005) Physical geography of Ukraine. [Фізична географія України]. Znannya, Kyiv. [In Ukrainian]. URL: [http://irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/ua/elib.exe?Z21ID=&I21DBN=UKRLIB&P21DBN=UKRLIB&S21STN=1&S21REF=10&S21FMT=online\\_book&C21COM=S&S21CNR=20&S21P01=0&S21P02=0&S21P03=FF=&S21STR=ukr0006762](http://irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/cgi-bin/ua/elib.exe?Z21ID=&I21DBN=UKRLIB&P21DBN=UKRLIB&S21STN=1&S21REF=10&S21FMT=online_book&C21COM=S&S21CNR=20&S21P01=0&S21P02=0&S21P03=FF=&S21STR=ukr0006762) [ISBN 966-346-092X]
  - Melnyk RP (2001) Urban Flora of Mykolaiv. [Урбанофлора Миколаїва]. PhD thesis. Nikitskiy Botanical Garden of Ukrainian Agrarian Academy of Sciences, Yalta:, 19 pp. [In Ukrainian].
  - Molnár VA, Löki V, Máté A, Molnár A, Takács A, Nagy T, Lovas-Kiss A, Sramkó G, Tökölyi J (2017) The occurrence of *Spiraea crenata* and other rare steppe plants in Pannonian graveyards. *Biologia* 72: 500-509. <https://doi.org/10.1515/biolog-2017-0060>
  - Mosyakin SL, Fedoronchuk MM (1999) Vascular Plants of Ukraine. A Nomenclatural Checklist. M.G. Kholodny Institute of Botany, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, 345 pp. [ISBN 966-02-1336-0]
  - Moysiienko I, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Dayneko P, Skobel N, Zachwatowicz M, Melnyk R, Zakharova M (2022) The nature conservation significance of cultural heritage sites and the need for their integrated preservation. The 17th Eurasian Grassland Conference (EGC) 2022 Grassland dynamics and conservation in a changing world, Tolosa, Spain, 12-18 September 2022.
  - Moysiienko I, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Skobel N (2023) The first dataset of vascular plant species occurrences on kurgans in Southern Ukraine. *Biodiversity Data Journal* 10 <https://doi.org/10.3897/bdj.10.e96879>
  - Moysiienko II (1999) Urban flora of Kherson. [Урбанофлора Херсона]. PhD thesis. Nikitskiy Botanical Garden of Ukrainian Agrarian Academy of Sciences, Yalta, 19 pp. [In Ukrainian].
  - Moysiienko II, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B (2006a) The flora of kurgans in the desert steppe zone of southern Ukraine. *Chornomorski Botanical Journal* 2 (1): 5-35. <https://doi.org/10.14255/2308-9628/06.21/1>

- Moysiienko II, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B (2006b) The flora of kurgans in the steppe zone of Southern Ukraine – phytogeographical and ecological aspects. Polish Botanical Studies 22: 387-398. URL: [http://ekhsuir.kspu.edu/bitstream/handle/123456789/2855/mojs\\_PBS\\_2006.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y](http://ekhsuir.kspu.edu/bitstream/handle/123456789/2855/mojs_PBS_2006.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)
- Moysiienko II, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B (2008) Sozophytes in flora of kurgans in steppe flora of South of Ukraine. [Созофіти у флорі курганів - рефугіумів степової флори на Півдні України]. Zapovidna Sprava v Ukraini 14 (1): 16-24. [In Ukrainian].
- Moysiienko II, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B (2009) Flora of kurgans in the Pontic herb(-rich) grass steppe zone in Ukraine. Chornomorski Botanical Journal 5 (3): 333-369. <https://doi.org/10.14255/2308-9628/09.53/3>
- Moysiienko II, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B (2010) Kurgans in Ukraine as a refuge of steppe flora. Bulletin of the Eurasian Dry Grassland Group IAVS 6: 6-10.
- Moysiienko II (2013) Floristic diversity and taxonomic structure of the flora of the Pivnichne Prychornomoria (Northern Black Sea Coastal Plain) [Флористичне багатство та систематична структура флори Північного Причорномор'я]. Chornomorski Botanical Journal 9 (1): 41-56. [In Ukrainian]. <https://doi.org/10.14255/2308-9628/13.91/5>
- Moysiienko II, Zachwatowicz M, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Jabłońska E (2014) Kurgans help to protect endangered steppe species in the Pontic grass steppe zone, Ukraine. Wulfenia 21: 83-94. URL: [https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Wulfenia\\_21\\_0083-0094.pdf](https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Wulfenia_21_0083-0094.pdf)
- Moysiienko II, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Zachwatowicz M, Dembicz I, Zakharova M, Kuns B (2017) Old cemeteries as objects of preservation of steppe phytodiversity. Annual Conference of the Eurasian Dry Grassland Group of the International Association for Vegetation Science, Riga (Latvi) and Western Lithuania, 4–11 July 2017. Materials of 14th Eurasian Grassland Conference (Annual Conference of the Eurasian Dry Grassland Group of the International Association for Vegetation Science), 42 pp.
- Moysiienko II, Skobel NO, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Zakharova MY, Dzerkal VM (2021a) Flora of old cemeteries Lower Dnipro as steppe refuge. Chornomorski Botanical Journal 17 (3): 98-106. <https://doi.org/10.32999/ksu1990-553X/2021-17-2-1>
- Moysiienko II, Skobel NO, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Zakharova MY, Dzerkal VM (2021b) Florosological significance of old cemeteries of the Lower Dnieper [Флоросологічне значення старих цвинтарів Нижнього Придніпров'я]. VII Scientific Readings in Memory of Sergey Tarashchuk, Mykolaiv, 23-24 April, 2021. Torubara, Mykolaiv. Materials of VII Scientific Readings in Memory of Sergey Tarashchuk, 61-67 pp. [In Ukrainian]. URL: <https://necu.org.ua/vii-naukovi-chyhtannya-pamyati-sergiya-tarashhuka/> [ISBN 978-617-7472-73-4].
- Moysiienko II, Skobel NO, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Zakharova MY, Dzerkal VM (2021c) Old cemeteries as a refuge of steppe flora in the Kherson Region [Старі цвинтарі як рефугіум степової флори у Херсонській області]. Practical Aspects of Biodiversity Conservation in the Southern Steppe Region, Askania-Nova, 6-27 May, 2021. OLDI-PLYuS, Kherson. Collection of Scientific Papers of the Scientific-Practical Seminar "Askania-Nova Biosphere Reserve", 69-73 pp. [In Ukrainian]. [ISBN 978-966-289-502-5].
- Moysiienko II, Skobel NO, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Zakharova MY, Dzerkal VM (2021d) Lower Dnieper old cemeteries in steppe flora of southern Ukraine. 9th Conference of European Vegetation Survey: Revegetating



- Europe – Contributions of the EVS to the UN Decade on Ecological Restoration., Online conference, 6–7 September, 2021. 60 pp. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5171736>.sv
- Moysiienko II (1997) Flora of Kherson cemeteries [Флора цвинтарів м. Херсона]. Problems of Botany and Mycology on the Threshold of the Third Millennium, Kyiv-Poltava. Proceedings of the X Congress of the Ukrainian Botanical Society, 39-40 pp. [In Ukrainian].
  - Moysiienko II, Dayneko PM, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Zakharova MY (2020) Conspectus of old settlements flora of the Lower Dnipro. Chornomorski Botanical Journal 16 (1): 6-39. <https://doi.org/10.32999/ksu1990-553X/2020-16-1-1>
  - Mucina L, Bültmann H, Dierßen K, Theurillat J, Raus T, Čarni A, Šumberová K, Willner W, Dengler J, García RG, Chytrý M, Hájek M, Di Pietro R, Iakushenko D, Pallas J, Daniëls FA, Bergmeier E, Santos Guerra A, Ermakov N, Valachovič M, Schaminée JJ, Lysenko T, Didukh Y, Pignatti S, Rodwell J, Capelo J, Weber H, Solomeshch A, Dimopoulos P, Aguiar C, Hennekens S, Tichý L (2016) Vegetation of Europe: hierarchical floristic classification system of vascular plant, bryophyte, lichen, and algal communities. Applied Vegetation Science 19: 3-264. <https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12257>
  - Nowińska R, Kozłowska M, Czarna A (2019) Implications of the richness of cemetery vascular plant flora for native biodiversity. International Biometric Colloquium, Siedlce, 8–12 september 2019. 17–19 pp.
  - Nowińska R, Czarna A, Kozłowska M (2020) Cemetery types and the biodiversity of vascular plants - a case study from south-eastern Poland. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening 49: 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2020.126599>
  - OpenRefine (2022) OpenRefine: A free, open source, powerful tool for working with messy data. 3.5.2. URL: <https://openrefine.org>
  - Protopopova VV (1991) Synanthropic flora of Ukraine and ways of its development. [Синантропная флора Украины и пути её развития]. Naukova Dumka, Kyiv, 202 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 5-12-001943-9]
  - Protopopova VV, Shevera MV (2005) Archaeophytes in Ukraine: the present patterns of distribution and degree of naturalization. Thaiszia Journal of Botany 15: 53-69.
  - Pyšek P (1998) Alien and native species in Central European urban flooras: a quantitative comparison. Journal of Biogeography 25: 155-163. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.1998.251177.x>
  - Rejmánek M (2000) Invasive plants: approaches and predictions. Austral Ecology 25: 497-506. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-9993.2000.01080.x>
  - Shapoval VV (2012) The flora of vascular plants of the Askanian steppe. [Флора судинних рослин асканійського степу]. FOP Andreev O.V, Armyansk, 195 pp. [In Ukrainian]. [ISBN 978-966-2722-00-0]
  - Skobel N, Moysiienko I, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M (2022) Factors influencing for the steppe flora and recommendation for subsequent protecting and conservation in old cemeteries of Kherson province (Southern Ukraine). Plant communities in changing environment. 30th Conference of the European Vegetation Survey, Bratislava, Slovakia, 9 – 13 May, 2022. Plant Science & Biodiversity Center SAS, Bratislava. Book of abstracts, 81 pp. URL: <http://euroveg.org/download/evs/30/EVS-2022-Bratislava-Abstracts.pdf> [ISBN 978-80-974243-0-5].
  - Skobel N, Moysiienko I, Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Dembicz I, Zachwatowicz M, Zakharova M, Dzerkal V, Marushchak O (2023) Vascular plants of old cemeteries of



- Lower Dnipro Region (Southern Ukraine). Version 1.9. Kherson State University. Occurrence dataset. <https://doi.org/10.15468/h82vw6>
- Skobel NO, Moysiienko II (2022a) Old cemeteries of Kherson city as refuge of steppe flora [Старі цвинтарі міста Херсона як рефугіум степової флори.]. *Chornomorski Botanical Journal* 18 (1): 52-70. [In Ukrainian]. <https://doi.org/10.32999/ksu1990-553X/2022-18->
  - Skobel NO, Moysiienko II (2022b) Florosological significance of old cemeteries of city Kherson [Созологічна цінність старих цвинтарів міста Херсона]. Population ecology of plants: current state, growth points to the 90-th anniversary of Zlobin Yulian Andriyovich, Sumy, Ukraine, 16 June, 2022. Proceeding of the Second international Symposium, 86-91 pp. [In Ukrainian].
  - Skobel NO, Moysiienko II, Dayneko PM (2022a) Old cemeteries of Lower Dnipro region and dry grasslands. Current problems of biology and ecology, Vinnytsia, Ukraine, 16-17 November, 2022. *Tvory, Vinnytsia. Materials of VII International scientific conference for students and young scientists*, 40-41 pp.
  - Skobel NO, Moysiienko II, Dayneko PM (2022b) Rare natural objects on the old cemeteries of Lower Dnipro region and ways of their conservation [Раритетні природні об'єкти на старих цвинтарях Нижнього Придніпров'я та шляхи їх збереження]. Natural sciences: projects, research, perspectives, Myrhorod, Ukraine, 15-16 December 2022. *Luhansk Taras Shevchenko National University, Poltava. Materials of the 3rd International Scientific and Practical Conference*, 71-74 pp. [In Ukrainian].
  - Solomakha VA (2008) *Syntaxonomy of Ukrainian vegetation*. [Синтаксономія рослинності України]. Phytosociocentr, Kyiv, 296 pp. [In Ukrainian]. [ISBN 978-966-306-149-2]
  - Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Moysiienko II (2006) The flora of kurgans in the west Pontic grass steppe zone of southern Ukraine. *Chornomorski Botanical Journal* 2 (2): 14-44. <https://doi.org/10.14255/2308-9628/06.22/2>
  - Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Moysiienko II (2008a) The synanthropic flora of kurgans within three steppe zones in southern Ukraine. *Biodiversity: Research and Conservation* 11-12: 41-48. URL: <http://brc.amu.edu.pl/The-synanthropic-flora-of-kurgans-withinthree-steppe-zones-in-southern-Ukraine,121643,0,2.html>
  - Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Moysiienko II (2008b) The floristic differentiation of microhabitats within kurgans in the desert steppe zone of southern Ukraine. *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae* 77 (2): 139-147. <https://doi.org/10.5586/asbp.2008.018>
  - Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Moysiienko II (2010) Flora of kurgans in the forest steppe zone in Ukraine. *Chornomorski Botanical Journal* 6 (2): 162-199. <https://doi.org/10.14255/2308-9628/10.62/2>
  - Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Moysiienko II (2011a) Zonal character of the flora of kurgans in central and southern Ukraine. *Biodiversity: Research and Conservation* 17: 47-52. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10119-010-0002-6>
  - Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Moysiienko II (2011b) Anthropogenic elements of the Ukrainian landscape and the problem of local steppe restoration. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska* 66 (1): 85-103.
  - Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Moysiienko II, Zachwatowicz M, Jabłońska E (2011) The value and need for protection of kurgan flora in the anthropogenic landscape of steppe zone in Ukraine. *Plant Biosystems* 145 (3): 638-653. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2011.601335>



- Sudnik-Wójcikowska B, Moysiienko I, et al. (2012) Kurgans in the 'Wild Field' – a Cultural Heritage and Refugium of the Ukrainian steppe. [Kurhany na „Dzikich Polach” – dziedzictwo kultury i ostoja ukraińskiego stepu/Kurgani “Dikogo polia” – kulturna spadshchina i prikhistok ukraïnskogo stepu]. Wydawnictwa Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego, Warsaw, 194+CD pp. [In Polish]. URL: [https://wuw.pl/data/include/cms/Kurhany\\_na\\_Dzikich\\_Polach\\_SudnikWojcikowska\\_Barbara\\_Moysiienko\\_Ivan\\_2012.pdf](https://wuw.pl/data/include/cms/Kurhany_na_Dzikich_Polach_SudnikWojcikowska_Barbara_Moysiienko_Ivan_2012.pdf) [ISBN 978-83-235-0965-3]
- Sukhanova OA (2012) Dendroflora of Baykove cemetery [Дендрофлора Байкового кладовища]. Scientific Bulletin of National University of Biological Resources and Nature Man 152 (1): 180-184. [In Ukrainian].
- Török P, Ambarlı D, Kamp J, Wesche K, Dengler J (2016) Step(pe) up Raising the profile of the Palaearctic natural grasslands. Biodiversity Conservation 25: 2187-2195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-016-1187-6>
- Ukraine Incognita (2022) Ancient Cemeteries of Ukraine with Stone Cossack and Ancient Ukrainian Crosses. [Старовинні цвинтарі України із кам'яними козацькими та давньоукраїнськими хрестами]. <https://ukrainaincognita.com/mista/karta-starovynnykh-tsvyntariv-z-kam-ianymy-kozatskymy-khrestamy>. Accessed on: 2022-9-21.
- Valkó O, Tóth K, Kelemen A, Miglécz T, Radócz S, Sonkoly J, Tóthmérész B, Török P, Deák B (2018) Cultural heritage and biodiversity conservation - plant introduction and practical restoration on ancient burial mounds. Nature Conservation 24: 65-80. <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.24.20019>
- Vasyliieva-Nemertsalova TV (1996) Synanthrope Flora of Dock-Site Cities of North-Western Black Sea Region and Its Development. [Синантропная флора припортовых городов Северо-Западного Причерноморья и пути ее развития]. PhD thesis. M.H. Kholodny Institute of Botany, Kyiv. [In Russian].
- Verschuuren B, Wild R, McNeely J, Oviedo G (Eds) (2010) Sacred natural sites: Conserving nature and culture. Earthscan, London & Washington, DC, 328 pp. URL: <https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/2010-045.pdf> [ISBN 978-1-84971-166-1]
- Vickery JA, Feber RE, Fuller RJ (2009) Arable field margins managed for biodiversity conservation: a review of food resource provision for farmland birds. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 133 (1-2): 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2009.05.012>
- Wiczorek J, Bloom D, Guralnick R, Blum S, Döring M, Giovanni R, Robertson T, Vieglais D (2012) Darwin Core: an evolving community-developed biodiversity data standard. PLOS One 7 (1): 29715. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029715>
- Zavialova LV (2010) Systematic structure of urban flora Chernihiv. [Систематична структура урбанофлори Чернігова]. Ukrainian Botanical Journal 67 (1): 71-78. [In Ukrainian]. <https://doi.org/10.15407/ukrbotj71.04.455>

## Endnotes

- \*1 As cemeteries in villages did not have a name, we called them according to the nearest villages or historical place, in case absent the nearest village. Abbreviations: 1 – Kherson Old Jewish cemetery; 2 - Kherson Zabalka cemetery; 3 – Kherson Memorial cemetery; 4 - Ekonomiiia Ivanivka; 5 - Kurgan near khutir Balakshova; 6 – Dolmativka; 7 - Nyzhni Torhai; 8 – Poniativka; 9 - Posad-Pokrovske; 10 – Stanislav; 11 – Tokarivka; 12 – Tryfonivka; 13 – Tiahynka.
- \*2 Abbreviations: TNoS - Total number of species; NoNS - Number of native species; NoSS - Number of steppe species; NoRS (RDBU) - Number of rare species (RDBU), NoRS (KRRL) - Number of rare species (KRRL); NoAS - Number of alien (or ruderal) species; NoEg - Number of ergasiophytes; DSS (km) - Distance to the nearest natural steppe site (km).
- \*3 Abbreviations of the old cemetery in landscape: A – agricultural landscape (blue colour in charts), R – rural landscape (green colour in charts), U – urban landscape (orange colour in charts).
- \*4 Abbreviations: the way of using cemetery area: Cu – currently used, Cl – closed, Ab – abandoned.