



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,538	11/02/2001	Daniel Nissanoff	2637/1F581-US2	4656

7590 06/29/2004
Darby & Darby
805 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022-7513

EXAMINER

MCLELLAN, JAMES S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3627

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/869,538	Applicant(s) NISSANOFF ET AL.	
Examiner James S McClellan	Art Unit 3627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 November 2001.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.
- 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 - 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement on June 16, 2003 was entered and fully considered and indicated by the signed PTO-1449 attached to the office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3 and 5-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,263,317 (hereinafter "Sharp").

Regarding **claim 1**, Sharp discloses a method for matching host-selected vendor to a customer via the Internet without disrupting existing relationships between the customer and its vendors (see column 1, lines 50-54), comprising the steps of: obtaining a request from the customer (see column 3, lines 6-20); obtaining relationship data (see column 3, lines 20-39); conveying the request to the customer selected vendors (see column 4, lines 12-17); and conveying the request to the host-approved vendor only upon a prescribed condition (see column 5, lines 50-55); [claim 2] reporting to the customer responses to the request (see column 4, lines 8-11); [claim 3] the host-approved vendor is one of the host Web site and a third-party vendor

Art Unit: 3627

(see column 3, lines 25-29); **[claim 5]** obtaining a product or service selection from the customer (see column 3, lines 16-20) and obtaining one or more vendor selections for said product or service from the customer (see column 3, lines 16-20; see column 5, lines 55-61); **[claim 6]** convey request to vendors via e-mail, fax, or telephone (see column 4, lines 15-17); **[claim 7]** the response is reported to the customer via e-mail (see column 3, lines 8-11); **[claim 8]** relationship data is maintained by the host web site (see column 3, lines 45-60); **[claim 9]** the host Web site maintains a catalog of information to satisfy the request (see column 3, lines 45-60); **[claims 10, 12, and 14]** the step of conveying the request to a host-approved vendor is performed automatically (see column 4, lines 12-28); **[claim 11]** the prescribed condition is that no single one of the customer-selected vendors can fully satisfy the order (see column 5, lines 38-43); and **[claim 13]** the prescribed condition is that the customer-selected vendors collectively cannot fully satisfy the order (see column 5, lines 38-43).

Regarding **claim 15**, Sharp discloses a method of facilitating e-commerce as set forth above in detail for claims 1-3 and 5-14, and further including recognizing the communication format of the vendor and delivering request information via the vendor selected format (see column 4, lines 12-17).

Regarding **claim 24**, Sharp discloses a method for providing customer with responses that satisfy a request as set forth above in detail for claims 1-3 and 5-14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3627

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharp in view of Official Notice.

Sharp fails to explicitly disclose a customer request and a vendor response being a quote.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that is well-known in the art of electronic commerce for customer to request quotes and for vendors to respond with quotes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sharp with request for quotes as is well-known in the art, because quotes are reasonable requests by customers during a procurement process.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Eder is cited of interest for disclosing a method and system for generating feasible, profit maximizing requisition sets.

Barnes is cited of interest for disclosing an electronic procurement system and method for trading partners.

Carter is cited of interest for disclosing an apparatus and method for inband protocol correction in distributed object networking.

Lautzenheiser is cited of interest for disclosing a method and apparatus for using prior results when processing successive database request.

Art Unit: 3627

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.


Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Official communications) or
(703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.


James S. McClellan
Primary Examiner
A.U. 3627

jsm
June 25, 2004